Refugee Access to Livelihoods and Housing, Land, and Property

May 2019 **UGANDA**

CONTEXT

Uganda is currently hosting the largest number of refugees in the region with over 1.2 million refugees and asylum seekers, primarily from South Sudan, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), and Burundi.1 Recently arrived refugees have joined Rwandese, Somali, and other refugees that have lived in Uganda for decades. Due to the large number of refugees, the range of countries of origin, and the varying lengths of displacement, there are key information gaps on how access to or lack of housing, land, and property (HLP) for refugees impact their potential for sustainable livelihoods. In partnership with Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC), REACH conducted an assessment from 3 December 2018 to 15 March 2019 to collect baseline data on refugees' access to livelihoods and HLP. The assessment aims to provide a better understanding of the conditions of refugee access to livelihoods and HLP, the linkages, and other factors influencing sustainable livelihoods and potential movement within Uganda.

METHODOLOGY


A mixed methods approach was used, driven by a household-level structured survey, semi-structured key informant interviews (KIIs) and focus group discussions (FGDs). The quantitative household-level survey produced results representative for the refugee population in 30 refugee settlements (considered as 25 due to five combined settlements in Adjumani) across 11 districts, as well as select divisions of Kampala (Makindye, Kampala Central, and Kawempe) with a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error at the settlement level for all questions asked to the entire sample size. A total of 3,165 households were interviewed for this assessment. All questions referring to a subset are footnoted.

KIIs and FGDs were used to triangulate the data and provide context to quantitative findings. KIIs targeted settlement leadership, including Office of the Prime Minister (OPM) commandants and UNHCR staff, refugee welfare committee leaders, district local government officials, and key partners working in relevant sectors. Semi-structured FGDs were stratified by gender and conducted with refugee and host community groups in each location.

Findings in this factsheet are representative of refugee households living in Oliji refugee settlement. A total of 88 refugee households were interviewed in Oliji.

POPULATION PROFILE OF REFUGEES IN UGANDA

Demographics¹



Female-headed households (HHs): 67%

Average household size:

1


Displacement demographic

Top countries of origin:1

- 1 South Sudan (65%)
 - 2 DRC (27%)
 - 3 Burundi (3%)
- 4 Somalia (2%)

BRIEF OVERVIEW OF OLIJI IN ADJUMANI DISTRICT

Oliji settlement was established on 1 January 1991 in Adjumani district hosting primarily South Sudanese refugees fleeing the Second Sudanese War that broke out in the 1980s as well as the newer wave of South Sudanese refugees fleeing civil war in South Sudan since 2013. Oliji hosts over 1,500 refugees.



¹ Figures based on United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and Office of the Prime Minister (OPM)population figures updated as of April 2019.

³ Respondents could answer more than one option for this question.







²This question was only asked to the 80% of respondents facing HLP challenges. The level of confidence may thus be lower than 95%.

HOUSING

UGANDA

DISTRICT: ADJUMANI

SETTLEMENT: OLIJI

15%

10%

TENURE ARRANGEMENTS

% of HHs by shelter tenure:



83% Owned by the head of the household

9% Owned by the spouse

8% Owned jointly between household members

commonly reported parts of the shelter damaged are:³

Damage to roof 92%

83% of HHs reported shelter damage. Of those, the most

SHELTER CHALLENGES


Damage to windows and/or doors

Damage to wall material

34% of HHs reported not being able to conduct repairs. Of those, the most commonly reported reasons include:³



% of HHs reporting members do not feel safe in their current shelter:



0% of HHs have documentation proving ownership or legal occupancy of shelter.

% of HHs by methods of shelter acquisition:

Construct	99%
Acquire	1%


Of the 99% of HHs that reported constructing their shelter, most commonly reported ways of acquiring materials:³

Nature	82%
Purchased	69%
Friend	15%

LAND

ACCESS TO LAND

% of HH reporting:3




USE OF LAND FOR CULTIVATION

97% of HHs reported using their shelter plot for cultivation. Of those, the following reported on sufficiency of the land to provide food for the HH in the most recent harvest.



Method of accessing land around shelter plot:



23% of HHs reported accessing land outside their shelter. Of those, the most commonly reported methods of accessing that land are:³

Accessing someone else's land for free	45%
Renting to access someone else's land	45%
Use of land where access has not been granted	10%
OPM/UNHCR allocation	5%

FORMAL AGREEMENTS

Of the 8% that access land around shelter plot through renting, free access, or ownership, 0% of HHs that have a formal agreement to access the land

Of the 90% of land accessed outside of shelter plot through renting, free access, or ownership, 17% are accessed through formal agreement





⁴ The Government of Uganda allocates land for residential and agricultural purposes, to refugees, irrespective of ethnicity and origin. This is done via OPM with the support of UNHCR.

HLP Challenges

UGANDA

DISTRICT: ADJUMANI SETTLEMENT: OLIJI

60%

80% of HHs reported facing HLP challenges. Of those:

Most commonly reported areas of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):3



Most commonly reported types of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):3

Destruction	37%
Theft	37%
Plot given was unusable	11%

HLP ISSUE RESOLUTION

61% of the 92 HLP issues reported were attempted to be resolved by the household

Out of the HLP issues that HHs attempted to resolve, the following mechanisms were used:3

Refugee Welfare Committee	47%
Help desk	31%
LC1 chairperson/local gov official	24%

43% of the HLP issues reported were resolved

PROPERTY

9% of HHs reported having no property at all. Out of the 91% who do:

HHs with income-generating property:

85%

Most commonly reported type of property:

Livestock



of HHs that own property/assets reported having documentation proving ownership or legal access

% of HHs owning number of income-generating property items:



58% Zero income-generating items 37% One income-generating item 5% Two income-generating items

ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Most commonly reported sources and types of information HHs access:3

Refugee Welfare Committee



97% of HHs reported trusting the information they

Most commonly reported information needs of HHs by area:3

Availability of services	60%
Food distribution	55%
Registration	26%







owner:

LIVELIHOODS

UGANDA

DISTRICT: ADJUMANI

SETTLEMENT: OLIJI

HHs reporting perceived livelihoods challenges:

98%

LIVELIHOODS SOURCES

Out of the 99% of HHs who reported having a source of income, the most commonly reported sources are:³

Cash assistance	63%
Selling natural resources	52%
Farming	21%

Most commonly reported livelihood groups HH members participate in:³



Most commonly reported livelihoods challenges:3

Lack of credit to start/continue a business	52%
Lack of skills/qualifications	48%
Lack of work opportunities	43%

SKILLS AND ASPIRATIONS

88% of HHs have men of working age and 94% of HHs have women of working age. Of those:

Most commonly reported livelihoods skills gained in country of origin:³

o .	†	†	
Farming	55%	Farming	54%
Livestock	21%	Domestic work in the home	46%
Casual labour	16%	Street trade	18%

Most commonly reported livelihoods aspirations:³

Ť		†	
Farming	26%	Tailoring	35%
Health worker	25%	Hairdressing	27%
NGO or social work	25%	: : Farming	25%

MOVEMENTS WITHIN UGANDA


PAST MOVEMENTS

% of HHs that had at least one member move in the year prior to data collection:



Of those:

Types of destinations:







Most commonly reported reasons for moving:3

Joining other family members	42%
Presence of education services	17%
Access markets to buy or sell food/goods	17%

25% of the HHs with at least one member who moved reported that they receive resources from the member that moved

MOVEMENT INTENTIONS

% of HHs that have at least one member planning to move in the 6 months following data collection:



Of those:

Types of destinations:



Most commonly reported reasons for planned movement:3

Presence of education services	50%
Presence of employment opportunities	25%
Security	25%





