Cross-Border Population Movement Factsheet Akobo Port and Road Monitoring

Akobo County, Jonglei State, South Sudan

CONTEXT AND METHODOLOGY

Akobo town is located in the eastern side of Akobo County, Jonglei State, close to the land and river border crossings with Ethiopia. Akobo is a key point of trade and transit between South Sudan and Ethiopia. Since the beginning of the crisis in 2013, this route has been used by South Sudanese heading to or coming back from refugee camps in Ethiopia. Since May 2015, REACH has been recording arrivals and departures of South Sudanese households (HHs) in four locations, Gadrang Road, Koatkoangthor Road, Tundol Port and Market Port, on a daily basis.


In order to provide an indication of wider trends, data is collected on the volume of movement, as well as the motivations and intentions of those travelling. REACH teams interviewed arrivals and departures at the household (HH) level. For movements larger than three households, a short alternative survey is used to assess HH and individual numbers by speaking to the Transport Focal Point (TFP), such as the driver or transport authority. Due to insecurity and other issues, data is not always collected on a daily basis. To correct for this inconsistency, data presented for general movement trends across months represents an average based on the number of days of data collection each month. The data presented here is not representative, nor does it capture all movements in and out of Akobo. Rather, it is indicative of movement trends for the assessed population.²

The following findings are based on primary data collected between the 4th and 29th January 2021.

of which captures larger movements between Akobo and Ethiopia.

Total monthly number of HHs and individuals recorded by PRM and TFP data

MAIN DESTINATIONS OF INBOUND AND OUTBOUND HHS



INBOUND TO SOUTH SUDAN

of inbound HHs reported intending to stay more than six months in their final destination in South Sudan.

Demographics

Proportion of recorded travellers by demographic group:



of inbound HHs were partial HHs.5

Previous location in Ethiopia

Primary reported locations from which inbound HHs were leaving:

Jewi Camp	37%	
Kule Camp	26%	
Sherkole Camp	11%	

Intended destination in South Sudan

Primary reported intended destinations for inbound HHs:

Akobo County	85%	
Nyirol County	11%	
Uror County	4%	I

Self-reported refugees Proportion of inbound HHs (left) and outbound HHs (right) who self-reported having refugee status in another country:3

•	•		•		
ound:					Outbour
		96%		100%	

During the data collection period, in addition to interviewing 151 HHs travelling by foot or in small vehicles and boats (PRM data collection). REACH typically uses the TFP tool to estimate the number of HHs travelling on larger boats. However, although in January multiple large boats did pass through Akobo, they arrived outside of data collection hours/on days when data collection was suspended due to insecurity. This difference likely accounts for the considerable drop in numbers of recorded households and individuals compared to December.

18%

68%

The findings in this factsheet are based on data from the REACH Port and Road Monitoring (PRM) data collection and the TFP survey, the latter

Inbo

Internal movement within South Sudan 14%

Individuals

105

534

Vulnerabilities

GENERAL MOVEMENT TRENDS

Type of movement

collection tools in January 2021:

Inbound to South Sudan from Ethiopia

Outbound to Ethiopia from South Sudan

74% of total outbound HHs reported that at least one member of the HH had a vulnerability4, including:

> Breastfeeding Pregnant women

. The TFP tool asks the driver (or another focal point) to give details of the number of individuals and number of households travelling. This methodology is used if the number of households travelling exceeds 3 households and therefore cannot

Push factors

Primary reported push factors for inbound HHs to leave their last location:

Distance from family/home	81%		
Lack of work opportunities	15%		
Tensions with host community	4%		

Reasons for coming to South Sudan

Primary reported pull factors for inbound HHs, October 2020 to January 2021:

	October 2020	November 2020	December 2020	January 2021
Rejoining family/ home	74%	73%	73%	70%
Presence of work opportunities	9%	19%	6%	15%
Attending a ceremony	14%	4%	10%	11%

OUTBOUND FROM SOUTH SUDAN

of outbound HHs reported intending to stay more than six months in their final destination outside of South Sudan.

Demographics

Proportion of recorded travellers by demographic group:



of outbound HHs were partial HHs.5

Previous location in South Sudan

Primary reported locations from which outbound HHs were leaving:

Akobo County	92%	
Nyirol County	7%	•
Uror County	1%	I

Intended destination in Ethiopia

Primary reported intended destinations for outbound HHs:

Nguenyyiel Camp	33%	
Jewi Camp	32%	
Kule Camp	18%	

Pull factors

Primary reported pull factors for outbound HHs to go to another country:6

Presence of education services	53%	
Availability of food	19%	
Security	9%	

Reasons for leaving South Sudan

Primary reported push factors for outbound HHs, October 2020 to January 2021:

	October 2020	November 2020	December 2020	January 2021
ack of education services	51%	40%	27%	53%
Lack of food	9%	19%	44%	19%
Lack of security	2%	0%	3%	9%

81% of total inbound HHs reported that at least one member of the HH had a vulnerability4, including:

• 52% Breastfeeding

• 29% Pregnant women

all be interviewed. For more details, please access the Port and Road Monitoring Terms of Reference here.

2. While internal movement within South Sudan was also recorded in Akobo over the data collection period, this factsheet covers crossborder movement between South Sudan and Ethiopia, and vice versa, only.



. Besponderts may select multiple vulnerabilities.
. Partial HHs are those where not all members of the self-identified family unit were reportedly travelling. Please note, family units in South Sudan often extend beyond the nuclear family

3. Due to the lack of large transports recorded during data collection hours, REACH did not collect data on large vessels' security concerns during travel in January