Camp 3 Ukhiya, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison


Background and Methodology

An estimated 738,000 Rohingya refugees have fled violence in Myanmar's Rakhine state since August 25, 2017¹. Most of the refugees rely on humanitarian assistance, having left with few possessions and exhausted their financial resources on the journey. The cyclone and monsoon seasons, stretching from May to October, further threatened the living conditions of the vast majority of refugees who are living in makeshift shelters and settlements highly vulnerable to floods and landsides.

To understand the evolving priority needs of the refugees, and to understand change over time, this Multi-Sector Needs Assessment (MSNA), coordinated by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and with technical support from REACH, was conducted in 33 refugee sites using a household survey methodology. It is a follow up assessment to the baseline MSNA conducted in July 2018.


Results of this MSNA are generalizable to the camp level with 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error. This factsheet presents an analysis of data collected in Camp 3 where 102 households were surveyed between 8 and 26 January 2019. Support for questionnaire translation and enumerator language training was provided by Translators Without Borders.

January 2019 data is presented in red, and July 2018 data is presented in beige.



The UN Refugee Agency

M Demographics³



3. January 2019 data only



Camp 3


July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

Protection

% of households reporting safety concerns (read: *fears*) for boys and girls (aged under 18)^{4,5,6}

Jan 2019			ј В	oys		Jul 2018
65%	Armed recruit		0	Kidnar	oping	61%
60%	Kidna	pping	2	Armed recruit		34%
42%	Violence comm		B	Violence comm		25%
			🛉 Gi	irls		
63%	Sexual v	violence	0	Sexual v	iolence	74%
43%	Kidna	pping	2	Kidnar	oping	43%
38%	Child m	arriage	6	Child ma	arriage	28%
J	an 2019				Jul 20	18
C	1%	least on hazardo days pric	ne child in ous labour or to data	porting at wolved in r in the 30 collection, th children	1%	0
C	50%	presenc	e of a yo	porting the uth group during the	84%	0
o/ c			1 44			

% of children reported to be attending activities at an NGO-run safe space for children in the 7 days prior to data collection⁸



% of females reported to be attending activities at an NGO-run space where only women and girls are allowed in the 7 days prior to data collection⁹



% of households reporting areas in the camp where men, women, boys, and girls do not feel $safe^{4,10}$

Jan 2019		Men	1	Jul 2018
71%	No area unsafe		No area unsafe	75%
25%	Market	2	Do not know	13%
18%	Distribution points	в	Market	8%
		Wor	nen	
50%	Latrines	0	Latrines	43%
40%	Bathing areas	2	Bathing areas	40%
31%	Distribution points	B No area unsafe		34%
		n Boy	S	
56%	Market	0	Market	49%
54%	Learning spaces	2	Learning spaces	32%
47%	Latrines	B	No area unsafe	27%
		Girl:	S	
58%	Latrines	0	Latrines	51%
41%	Learning spaces	2	Bathing areas	39%
30%	Market	B	Learning spaces	29%

4. Respondents could select multiple options

5. Three most common safety concerns for boys and girls are shown

These results are based on the respondent's subjective perception of risks in the camp, and not the actual number of security incidents

7. In this assessment hazardous labour was defined as: working with heavy machinery or lifting heavy objects, exposure to harmful chemicals, exposure to extreme heat (eg. furnace, bakery), working more than

40 hours per week, working at night (between 8 pm and 6 am), and using sharp objects 8. Respondents were asked to report information for each child in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual children reported

 Respondents were asked to report information for each woman in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual women reported

REACH

An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives


ACTED and UNOSAT

10. Three most common areas where men, women, boys and girls do not feel safe are shown



Camp 3

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison



The UN Refugee Agency

REACH

IMPACT Initiatives

ACTED and UNOSAT

Camp 3

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting challenges in accessing NGO run clinics since arriving in the camp^{15,16}

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
51%	Treatment unavailable	0	No challenge	70%
49%	Supplies unavailable	2	Treatment unavailable	16%
25%	Clinic too far	ß	Supplies unavailable	15%
17%	No challenge	4	Clinic too far	13%
15%	Crowded	6	Poor staff behaviour	8%

Food Security




of households reporting , possession of a ration card



Jul 2018

% of households reporting receiving food assistance in the 30 days prior to data collection, by source¹⁵






15. Respondents could select multiple options

16. Five most common health care access challenges are shown


17. Respondents were asked to report information for each child in their household. This indicator shows the proportion of all individual children reported

🕮 Education

% of children reported to be attending NGO-run learning centres in the 7 days prior to data collection¹⁷



% of children reported to be attending Madrassas in the 7 days prior to data collection¹⁷



Shelter & Non-Food Items



of households reporting sharing their shelter with other households



% of households reporting destruction or damage of their shelter in the 30 days prior to data collection

Jan 2019		Jul 2018
5%	Roof damaged	14%
11%	Roof destroyed	11%
8%	Wall damaged	4%
6%	Wall destroyed	14%




Camp 3 July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting using different materials for the frame and walls of the household shelter¹⁸

Bamboo frame with external mud walls	0% 0%
Bamboo frame with bamboo matting walls	62% 48%
Bamboo frame with lattice walls covered in plastic sheeting	42% 53%
Bamboo frame with combination of bamboo lattice and mud walls	0% 0%
Bricks and cement	0% 0%
Other	0% 0%
J an 2019	Jul 2018


% of households reporting using different materials for the roof of the household shelter¹⁸




% of shelters with windows, by number of openings



% of shelters with ventilation mesh, by number of openings



% of households reporting ownership of the following non-food items at the time of data collection



% of households reporting most urgently needed non-food items^{19,20}

days prior to data collection

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
78%	Blanket	0	Cooking stove	64%
55%	Kitchen set	2	Solar lamp	45%
49%	Solar lamp	B	Kitchen set	42%
39%	Floor mat	4	Fuel	33%
21%	Clothes - Children	6	Clothes - Children	19%
12%	Portable lamp	6	Portable lamp	18%
12%	Cooking stove	7	Floor mat	17%

18. Respondents could select multiple options

19. Respondents could select up to 3 options

20. Seven most common non-food item needs are shown



Camp 3

July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

🔝 Site Ma	nagem	ent		
63% b	of household being aware Cyclone Pr Program vo	of the ro	le 74%	
% of households re prepare for an appr Jan 2019				
Secure shelter	86%	77%	Secure shelter	
Secure valuables			Stay in shelter	
Secure food supplies			Evacuate shelter	
 Communication with Communities Jan 2019 Of households reporting being aware of a complaint/ feedback mechanism within their camp Of households reporting use of a complaint/feedback mechanism in the 30 days prior to data collection^{22,24} 				
Jan 2019 None used	67%	56%	Jul 2018 None used	
Mahji			Mahji	
Community leader			Community leader	
·		170	,	
91%	of household receiving a to their co eedback, of that reporte mecha	response mplaint/ househol d using a	ds 98%	

21. CPP is a joint community-based disaster risk reduction initiative of the Government of Bangladesh and the Bangladesh Red Crescent Society. It trains volunteers to deliver cyclone warnings to communities, assist with shelter and rescue, and provide medical attention 22. Respondents could select multiple answers

- 23. Three most common steps for cyclone preparedness are shown
- 24. Three most common complaint mechanisms used are shown


The UN Refugee Agency

- 25. Three most common barriers to accessing complaint mechanisms are shown
- 26. Income from employment, remittances, cash assistance and new debts
- 27. 1 USD = 83.4 BDT (as of 28 January 2019). Source: www.xe.com

% of households reporting barriers to using complaint/feedback mechanisms $^{\rm 22,25}$

Jan 2019					Jul 2018
	No barriers	84%	0	74%	No barriers
	Do not know	11%	2	24%	Unaware of mechanisms
Believe	mechinism is useless	3%	B	1%	Humanitarian pressure to not use

% of households reporting use of information channels in the 7 days prior to data collection²²



💩 Livelihoods



 of households reporting at least one member working to earn an income in the 30 days prior to data collection Jul 2018



% of individuals reported to be working to earn an income in the 30 days prior to data collection

Men	Ť	41% 32%	Women	Ť	3% 4%
Boys (<18 yrs)	Ť	<mark>2%</mark> 2%	Girls (<18 yrs)	Ť	0% 0%
		Jan 2019	Jul 2018		
Jan 2019 2,000		Median housel Bangladeshi T			Jul 2018 1,700



July 2018 and January 2019 comparison

% of households reporting income from different sources in the 30 days prior to data collection²⁸

Camp 3

Work	50% 34%
Remittances	3% 7% ■
Cash assistance	4% 7% ■
New debts	34% 23%
Ian 2	2019 🔲 Jul 2018

% of households reporting income from different types of employment in the 30 days prior to data collection^{28, 29}

Jan 2019				Jul 2018
23%	NGO volunteer	0	NGO volunteer	14%
14%	Construction / Manual labour	2	Agriculture or livestocl	k 7%
6%	Small business trader	8	Construction / Manua labour	7%
6%	Restaurant	4	Small business trader	4%
4%	Domestic work	6	Domestic work	2%
Jan 201	19			Jul 2018
of households reporting at least one individual engaging in work paid by an NGO ("cash for work") in the 30 days prior to data collection ³⁰				
0	71% taking on r	new c	reporting debts since 76% ngladesh	0
Jan 201	Median househo	ld de	bt in Bangladeshi	Jul 2018
5,00	Taka	a (BC	DT) ³¹	4,000
29.Five mos 30. Only hou	dents could select multiple answ t common employment sectors a useholds reporting that an individ	are sho dual ha	d worked Construction / Manua	

the 30 days prior to data collection were asked if this work was 'Cash for Work'. The finding is a proportion of the entire camp population

31. 1 USD = 84.1 BDT (as of 5 March 2019). Source: www.xe.com

32. Data from only January 2019 presented, as WASH indicators were not included in the July 2018 MSNA

33. Top three sources of drinking water are shown

34. Top three locations for defecation shown

T Water Sanitation and Hygiene³²

% of households reporting main source of drinking water $^{\scriptscriptstyle 33}$

0	Tubewell / Borehole	88%	
2	Tapstand	12%	
ß	Protected dugwell	0%	



of households reporting witnessing someone treating water with chlorine the last time they were at a water point, of households using tubewells / boreholes as a main source of drinking water



Average litres of potable water collected per person per day for each household

Water collection - % of households reporting:

at least 3L of drinking water per person per day	at least 10L of protected water storage capacity per person	at least 15L of water for all domestic uses per person per day
92%	19%	56%

% of households reporting where households members usually go to defecate $^{\rm 28,\ 34}$

0	Communal latrine	95%	
2	Household latrine	5%	•
B	Open defecation	0%	

97% of households reporting having soap in their shelter

% of households reporting the most common method of solid waste disposal



47%	Designated waste pit
12%	Undesignated waste pit
40%	Stream or water source
0%	Burn it
1%	Bury it
00/	

- 0% Other
- 0% Do not know



The UN Refugee Agency