| Dahuk, Iraq August 2016 | e - Shariya | Ma Pho Em | nagement agency: nager/Focal point: one number: ail: gistration actor: | BRHA Saud Msto Najim 7504572922 n/a BRHA | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Summary | Camp Overview | Demographi | cs | Location Ma |) |
| This profile provides an overview of conditions in Shariya camp. Primary data was collected through household surveys on 22-08-16. Households were randomly sampled to a 95% confidence and 10% margin of error, based on population figures provided by CCCM. In some cases, additional information from camp managers has been used to support findings. Round V of data collection was in April 2016. | # of individuals: 17076 # of households: 3196 Date opened: 23-11-14 Occupied number: 4000 Ongoing extension: No Planned capacity: 4000 Camp area: 453,837 | 49% male / 5 2% 0ver 21% 18-5 9% 12-1 8% 6-17 9% 0-5 | 60 1 2% 9 23% 17 9% 1 8% | Anbar Anbar | rbil Sulaymaniyah irkuk |
| IDP Camp Map - Shariya | | | Lat. 35° 25' 55.39 | 5" N Long. 45° 35' | 24.967" E |
| | | A1 Braze | A4 B1 0 B2 Crestor Creation Cr | | |
| | The set of | E1 Tues 143 E2 Wer, 170 E5 For 173 E6 For 173 E7 E7 For 20 For 20 For 378 For 318 | Camp Infrastruct | Y Camp Entrance Y Sector Gate Health Clinic NGO Office Child Friendly Space Empty Tent Latrine (167) Disabled Latrine (19) Shower (140) Laundry (17) Scullery (39) Water Point (36) | Grey Water Disposal (2) Bakery Road |

| Sectoral Minimum Standards |
|-----------------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|

| | | • | | | |
|------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Education | % of children aged 6-11 attending formal school % of children aged 12-17 attending formal school | 100% 100% | 82% 44% | 76% 76% | • |
| Food | % of households accessed Family Food Parcel or equivalent in the past month | 100% | 90% | 100% | • |
| Health | Health services are available on-site or within walking distance | Yes | Yes | Yes | • |
| CCCM | Average open area per household | min. 30m ² | 102m ² | 102m ² | • |
| Protection | % of IDPs registered on an individual basis (MODM/DDM) | 100% | 96% | 99% | • |
| Shelter | % of households accessed shelter assistance since arrival at the camp Average covered area per person Average number of individuals per shelter | 100% min. 3.5m² max.5 | 90% 4.5m² 4 | 65% 4.6m ² 4 | • |
| WASH | # of persons per latrine # of persons per shower Frequency of solid waste disposal at least weekly | max. 20 max. 20 min. weekly | 20 25 Yes | 18 20 Yes | • |
| | | | | | |

Target

Previous Round

Current Round

REACH An initiative of IMPACT Initiatives ACTED and UNOSAT

Achievement

*GPS tracking of camp infrastructure was not possible this round due to restrictions from authorities. Area calculations subsequently could not be determined. Targets based on minimum standards agreed with the CCCM Cluster, Iraq. Findings based on household-level data, enumerator field observations, and camp management documentation • Minimum standard reached, • More than 50% minimum standard reached, • Less than 50% of minimum standard reached or not at all



For more information on this profile please contact: CCCM Cluster: iraqimo@cccmcluster.org REACH Initiative: iraq@reach-initiative.org



IDP Camp Profile - Shariya Dahuk, Iraq

August 2016

Shelter

| Top three | observed shelter | types* | | | |
|---|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Tent wit | h brick walls | 100% | | | |
| 0% | | 0% | | | |
| 0% | | 0% | | | |
| *Households were asked to select all shelter typologies within household. | | | | | |
| Winterisa | tion and NFI item | S | | | |
| 55% | reported a second fly sheet | | | | |
| 92% | reported access to a heater | | | | |
| 76% | reported at least one blanket per person | | | | |
| 58% | reported at least one mattress per person | | | | |
| 2.8 | average number of carpets | | | | |
| 57% | reported shelter provides warmth in winter | | | | |
| Hazards | | | | | |
| 10% | reported exposed electrical cables at site | | | | |
| Shelter Improvement | | | | | |

67% reported making own improvements to their shelter

Community Organisation

IDP committees

97% reported awareness of IDP committee(s)

Complaints mechanism

100% reported awareness of complaints mechanism

Protection

Family Separation

1% reported hosting unaccompanied or separated minors1% reported that other family members intended to join them

Vulnerable groups

| Pregnant / lactating women | 32% |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| Chronically ill individual(s) | 5% |
| Disabled individual(s) | 3% |
| Female headed households | 7% |

Intentions

2% planned to move to a different location in next 3 months

Restrictions

57

0% reported entry or exit restrictions during daylight hours

Food Security and Coping Strategies

Reported access to food assistance

| | | | • | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|-----------------|--|--|
| 100% 100% | accessed food assistance in past month received Public Distribution System (PDS) in past month | | | | |
| op three | food consumpt | ion copin | g strategies | | |
| Cheape | r | 55% | | | |
| Borrowe | d | 19% | | | |
| Less me | eals | 7% | | | |
| | ways a what all lives like | | a of the factor | | |

Top three reported livelihood coping strategies

| Debt | 52% | |
|-----------------|-----|--|
| Sold Assistance | 26% | |
| Sold Assets | 16% | |

🆣 WASH

T

Latrines and showers

| 99% reported public or communal latrines |
|--|
|--|

- 95% public/communal latrines lockable from the inside
- 91% public/communal latrines with functioning lighting

Top three reported methods of waste removal

| Collected | 83% |
|--------------|-----|
| Communal bin | 15% |
| rubbish pit | 1% |

Reported drinking water sources

| 14% | connection i | inside | the | home | to | collectiv | e wat | er storage |
|-----|--------------|--------|-----|------|----|-----------|-------|------------|
| 0% | connection i | inside | the | home | to | private | water | storage |

86% communal water tap outside the shelter

Access to water

2% reported 24 consecutive hours without access to water in the past month

Household Income

13% reported as being economically inactive

Top three income sources*:

| Public security official | 20% |
|--------------------------|-----|
| Low skilled service | 14% |
| No livelihood | 13% |

*Households were asked to select all income sources within household.



