

South Sudan - Unity State

Assessment of Hard to Reach Areas in South Sudan


Overview

Conflict in Unity State first broke out in late December 2013, only days after fighting began in Juba. Since then, the State has been one of the worst affected by the conflict, and currently hosts the highest reported numbers of internally displaced persons in the country. Many areas in Unity are largely inaccessible to humanitarian actors due to insecurity and logistical constraints. As a result only limited information is available on the humanitarian situation outside major displacement sites.

In order to understand the humanitarian situation in Unity State and to facilitate humanitarian planning, REACH piloted its Area of Origin (AoO) in late 2015 from the PoC site in Bentiu. Through AoO, REACH collects data from a network of Key Informants (KIs) who have sector specific knowledge of an area, from regularly traveling to and from an area, direct or indirect contact with people in an area, or recent displacement from the area. However, from


field visits to Nyal first in June and then in July 2016 it became evident that Nyal was still experiencing a steady flow of IDPs from conflict affected areas and an opportunity to interview new arrivals directly as opposed to relying upon key informants was identified. Since the month of September and during October REACH set up enumerators in key locations in communities where IDPs who had arrived within the past six to eight weeks could be interviewed on their experiences.

Findings presented in this document are drawn from primary data collected from 507 KIs (405 new arrivals) in November 2016 from Bentiu Protection of Civilians (PoC) site and the Juba PoCs, covering 75 communities across 7 counties in Unity state, especially the counties most affected by the recent conflict: Koch, Leer and Mayendit. The study focuses on the situation in villages or local communities from which many individuals have already fled, but where some families may remain. Health, shelter, food security, WASH, education and protection sectors are covered.




Demographics

Primary demographic composition of remaining local community (LC) population




Primary demographic composition of internally displaced persons (IDPs) population



Displacement ***

Estimated population of IDPs and proportion of local community (LC) having left since the onset of the crisis



Top two reported reasons for leaving their last location, by IDPs1

Insecurity

Lack of food

Top two reported reasons for coming to their current location, by IDPs1

The area is secure

Access to food

Top two reported reasons for not leaving location, by local community1

The location is considered their home

Remain close to the family

39%

¹ Most frequently cited as first and second most important








40%

Displacement



Communities reporting returned local community¹



Living situations

Reported living locations of IDPs

	With the local community	60%
•	With relatives	25%
	In a spontaneous settlement	10%
	In the PoC	4%
	In the bush	1%


Reported living locations of local community²


Own home	65%
In the bush (nearby)	15%
In a neighbour's home	15%
In the bush (far)	2%
In another village	2%
Don't know	1%

Health

Top two reasons why health services are not available³








Health concerns

Top three reported health concerns³



Top three reported most needed items in health care centres³





¹Local community displaced and returned home

²The current location of LCs was asked in order to assess persons who were displaced within their local community

³ Key informants could choose more than one answer




South Sudan - Unity State

Assessment of Hard to Reach Areas in South Sudan

Shelter/NFI

Reported proportion of local community sleening outside



Reported main shelter types¹

Top two reported shelter types, by IDPs

1 Rakooba	89%
-----------	-----



Top two reported shelter types, by local community


1	Rakooba	83%
2	Tukul	48%

Top two reported shelter types, by returned local community²

1 Rakooba 83%

Tukul 72%








ANYIJIAR

Reported primary sources of those with access to safe drinking water



For those with access to safe drinking water, reported time of a return trip to the water source



56% Under 30 minutes

29% 30 minutes to 1 hour

13% 1 to 2 hours

2% 2 hours to half day

NFIs

Reported uses of new mosquito nets³

Protection from mosquito	100%	
Building materials	42%	
Rope	31%	
Crop protection	20%	
Fishing	19%	
Clothing	5%	
Other	5% I	

Reported number of people sharing a shelter

-			
	1 to 5 people	60%	
	6 to 10 people	30%	
	11 to 15 people	7%	
	More than 15 people	3%	I

Water availability and sanitation

Of communities reporting presence of boreholes



Access

No access

70% are reportedly functional

Reported access to primary sanitation facilities



¹ Key informants could choose more than one answer; responses refer to percentage of communities having a reported shelter type, not the percentage of the population living in them

² Local community displaced and returned home

³ Key informants could choose more than one answer; respondents chose all uses for new mosquito nets present in their community



South Sudan - Unity State

Assessment of Hard to Reach Areas in South Sudan



ABIEMNHOM

Access

No access



PARIANG

PANYIJIAR






Top three reported most common coping strategies




Current access to market






Livelihoods 🦥



Reported current location of the assets of fishermen, drivers, and labourers²

Stolen/looted	52%
Looked after by the owner	26%
Looked after by immediate family	11%
Hidden in a safe location	8%
Looked after by the community	2%
Other	1%

Reported level of access to agricultural



Reported current location of the communities' cattle

Stolen/looted	46%
Moved to a safe location	22%
Looked after by the owner	11%
On seasonal migration	10%
Looked after by immediate family	6%
Looked after by the community	5%




¹Key informants could choose more than one answer

²Assets included things such as cars, cooking tools, boats, building tools etc.

Education

Reported level of access to education services




Overall reported level of available education in assessed communities¹

None	75%	
Primary	25%	
Pre-primary	16%	
ALP program ²	4%	I
Vocational	2%	1
Secondary	2%	1

In 6 communities where education is reportedly available, the reported reasons for children not attending school are

No supplies	45%	
Insecurity	23%	
Need to work	17%	
Girls are not allowed	4%	1
Don't know	11%	

Reported proportion of boys and girls aged 6-17 attending school




¹Key informants could choose more than one answer



Protection concerns

Primary reported protection concerns for men and women



Community

Relationships between IDPs, returnees and local communities




100% of communities reported relations between these groups were "good".

About REACH Initiative

REACH facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. All REACH activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. For more information, you can write to our in-country office: south.sudan@reach-initiative.org or to our global office: geneva@reach-initiative.org.

Visit www.reach-initiative.org and follow us @REACH_info.







²Accelerated learning programs